

[19th December 1922]

*Trained Mappilla teachers.*

600 Q.—MR. A. D. M. BAVOTTI SAHIB: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state the number of Mappilla trained teachers in Malabar who are without appointment and the number of Hindu teachers in Mappilla schools appointed during the last three years and to state the causes that have led to the unemployment of trained Mappilla teachers who were trained at the Government expense?

A.—The Government have no information.

*Promotion of Mappilla Sub-Inspectors of Police.*

601 Q.—MR. A. D. M. BAVOTTI SAHIB: With reference to my question No. 345 regarding the Mappilla sub-inspectors of Police in Malabar at the Council meeting held on 13th November and the answer thereto, will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state why none of the five Mappilla sub-inspectors have been promoted?

A.—The Government have no information.

*The Pullampet project, Cuddapah district.*

602 Q.—MR. B. P. DEVARAJULU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

- (1) when the investigation of the Pullampet project in the Cuddapah district was finally completed;
- (2) what the estimated cost of the project is; and what area could be brought under its irrigation and in what villages of the taluk;
- (3) why the Government have not taken up the execution of the project;
- (4) whether any other projects more promising than this in the Pennar Basin have been investigated;
- (5) what those projects are, their estimated cost, and area of land that could be brought under irrigation; and
- (6) whether any of these projects have been taken up for execution and if not, for what reasons they are allowed to lie over?

A.—(1) The investigation of the project was completed in 1905.

(2) The scheme is estimated to cost Rs. 66.30 lakhs and to irrigate 70,000 acres in the Pullampet taluk. Details of villages are not available.

(3) The investigation of 1905 having showed that the scheme was not sufficiently promising, gauging observations are being made in the river to determine whether the project deserves further investigation.

19th December 1922]

(4) to (6) The following statement gives the information asked for :—

*Projects in the Pennar Basin.*

Number and name of project.	Estimated cost.	Extent to be irrigated.	Remarks.
1. Papaghni Reservoir project, Cuddapah district.	LAKHS. 42.08	10,000 acres wet and 17,300 acres dry.	A preliminary report on the scheme was submitted in 1905, but it was not sufficiently promising to justify its detailed investigation. Gauging observations are being made to determine whether the proposal deserves further investigation.
2. Lower Sagileru project, Cuddapah district.	2.35	4,164 acres first crop and 1,000 acres second crop.	The project was abandoned as it was not worth carrying out even as a famine-relief work as it would not pay its working expenses.
3. Upper Pennar project, Anantapur district.	23.84	14,250 acres.	The results of gaugings made for five years showed that the work was unsuitable as a famine-relief work as there was a risk that in a bad year it would not protect even a fair proportion of its ayaout and that in a very bad year it would be a complete failure. Moreover the work estimated to cost Rs. 23.84 lakhs in 1906 could not be carried out for less than Rs. 40 lakhs. The project was therefore abandoned.
4. Dorigallu project, Cuddapah district.	18.65	5,000 acres.	These projects were abandoned as they did not fulfil the conditions of a productive, protective or even a famine-relief work.
5. Boggeru project, Nellore district.	9.48	1,638 „	
6. Yellanur Tank project, Anantapur district.	2.66	1,642 „	The project was completed in 1919 and is now in operation.
7. Ithodu project, Anantapur district.	3.60 (for 'Works').	732 „	The project did not satisfy the conditions of a productive work, nor was it suitable for famine-relief purposes. Moreover it was not on a secure basis as regards water-supply. The scheme was therefore abandoned.

*Under Secretary, Local Self-Government department.*

603 Q.—Mr. V. HAMID SULTAN MARAKKAYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether the post of an additional Assistant Secretary was created in his department;

(b) whether the question of abolishing the post of Deputy or Under Secretary has been considered in that connexion as a measure of economy; and

(c) if so, when the post of the Deputy or Under Secretary is to be abolished?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) For the purpose of expediting the disposal of work in the Local Self-Government Secretariat a Deputy Secretary has been appointed temporarily, and, while that appointment lasts, an Assistant Secretary has been appointed in place of the Under Secretary.